App F. IX-4

Previous References. 1943. (January)

File No. 143.43

Serial Nos. 1 - 2

Later References.
January 201.63 1/--
: 24-52 (1 ½
RJ 1.3.52 50.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Serial Nos. Two.

Part B for. June 1944.

SUBJECT.

Grant of exemption to K. Srinivasa Gopal, clerk, Singapore Stable-labourers Tinkrali, Assam in operation of G. R. B Rules.

R. 6550 dated 2. 5. 1944.
To the Commissioner
State that there is no objection to the absorption of Srinivasa Gopal in a promotion Quasi Vacancy.

Revenue 143. 43. 1.

WB 05967—GPB—60,000—9 8-42

2201/R

194 .

From

Rajasevaprasakta
L. Jesudril, Esqr., I.A.S.,
Assistant Commissioner in
Mysore, Bangalore.

To

J.B. Kalkurayya, Esqr., B.A.,
Deputy Secretary to the
Government of Mysore,
Bangalore.

Dated

Despatched

Received

General No. 43-2272/43-44
No.

Enclosure No.

19/11/43

Subject:- Re:- Recommending Seshagiri Gopal, Clerk, Mysore Stamp Office, Hindu by afon the operation of Jesudian.

Sir,

I have the honour to state that Seshagiri Gopal, Senior Clerk of the Mysore Stamp Office, Hindu by was appointed in the year 1930 and he is now drawing Rs.55/- in the grade Rs.30-1-40. He has passed S.S.L.C. and put in 13 years service. He has also worked for period of eight months in this office as Bill Sirkar under Clerk on Rs.60/-.

2/ He is also said to have been appointed in his first time as Amin under the Jesudian, as a Clerk and absconded subsequently the post of the Amin. Came into effect from the year 1935.

3 He is the senior most Hindu by working in II class Vac Clerk and by the time a chance for promotion to this office, he will be Clerk in the grade Rs.55-3-70 for promotion to Vac Cl.

sanction of Government may be kindly obtained to exempt him from the operation of C.R.B. Rules and to fix his pay at Rs.33/- in the grade Rs.30-3-60 ~~as~~ on his transfer to this office.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

M. N. Arunachalam.
Assistant-commissioner,
for Muzrai Commissioner.
(absent from the station)

(1) As this is a question of granting exemption from the operation of the rules of Recruitment, the Public Service Commissioner may perhaps be consulted in the first instance.

Subd.
22/11/43

(2) D.Y.No. 24-11-43
R.O.No. 58/43-44 dated 24-11-43.

(3) Forwarded with Compliments to the Public Service Commissioner for favour of remarks.

Deputy Secretary
24/11/43

R.O.No. 58/43-44
Dated the 24th Nov.

760
23/11/43

Returned with compliments.

R.O.No. 62/43-44
D. 29.11.43

(4) The Muzrai Commissioner seeks sanction of Government to exempt one N. Srinivasa Gopal, Senior clerk in the Mysore State Charities, Thirupathi from the operation of the Rules of Recruitment and to promote him as a 2nd divisional clerk on Rs.30-3-60 in a promotional vacancy in the office of the Muzrai Commissioner. It is seen from the records that this official has been appointed in the first instance without any reference to the Rules of Recruitment. The suggestion that the Revised Rules of Recruitment came into operation in the year 1934 is beside the

the point as the general Rules of Recruitment were obtaining even in the year 1930 when this official was first appointed

Nor is the fact that the above Charities are situated outsid Mysore State, a good ground to exempt the officials of the said Charities from the operation of Service Rules. Hence I may be permitted to observe that the 1st appointment of this official is an irregular one and the rules of recruitment ought to have been applied while selecting candidates for the vacancies in the said Charities also. In these circumstances I am of opinion it is not fair to allow such an irregularly recruited official to claim seniority with those who have been regularly appointed in accordance with the rules of recruitment. Hence the exemption sought for need not be sanctioned.

23/12/43

Public Service Commissioner.

U.O.No. 76/43-44 Dated 3rd Jan 44.

(6) Forwarded with compliments. He may comment in reply on the favourable remarks if any

U.O.No.M3-2-272/43-44.

Retransmitted with compliments.

(7) Appointments in Tirupati Charities are non-pensionable. Under Rules of 1930, the vacancy could have been filled up after advertisement. But Srinivasa Gopal, S.S.L.C., of Madras, a local candidate, who is the son of N.Garudachar.

who had put in over 30 years' service in the Charities, retired without any pension, was appointed as a clerk on 20 p.m., in 1930. Besides, the clerks to be appointed should be only Brahmins for looking after feeding arrangements.

(8) It is seen from the subsequent appointments, that the C.R.B.Rules had not been followed in respect of these appointments till 1934 when the lists of candidates to be appointed in the serial order was published under new rules of recruitment.

(9) As these appointments in Tirupati Charities are included in the unit of the Muzrai Commissioner, the cases of officials working in Charities (non-pensionable service) are being considered for promotions in the same class or to a higher class in promotional vacancies.

(10) A. R. Yamunacharya, another clerk of the Charities, who was, similarly, appointed in 1932, was recommended for exemption with this office No.C.191/38-39 dated 3-9-1938; an Government were pleased to sanction the recommendation, in their Order No.3074/C.R.B. dated 4-11-1938 (copies of which are enclosed).

(11) As the official has put in, already, over 13 years' service and his case is similar to that of Yamunacharya, referr to above, he may also be granted exemption.

11th March 1944.

Muzrai Commissioner.

(12) Submitted for orders with reference to paras 4-6 of the notes supra.

(13) As will be found from Vidyaprakasa

The official channel of transmission, Mr. McCreery, may perhaps be sanctioned as a special case, pointing out the inadvisability for further guidance

h
14/3

Addley

2980/13/3

(14) I agree with the understanding

(15) Mr Rod
Third
Asst Secy

14/3
h Jones



Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent payment record.]

brought on the ~~proceedings~~ Vacancy Registers prescribed under the rules of recruitment

(18) It is not known how the name of this official was clubbed with the names of officials in regular establishment to give him the seniormost mark among the officials working in the II class vacancies in the ~~grade~~ limit of the Muzrai Commissioner. The question of this further promotion to the next higher grade of II Division Clerks on Rs 30-3-60 which is intended to be given effect to when the chance occurs (vide para 3 of the Muzrai Commissioner's letter dated 19.11.43) will not also be regular.

(19) The ~~manager~~ only noted establishment comprises the limit of the ~~grade~~ Muzrai Commissioner. It is seen therefrom that the Vacancies of Junior Clerks & Dy Accountants are all Direct Recruitment Vacancies which do not admit of promotions from lower classes except it be from superior regular service in pensionable grade of appointments with the sanction of Govt. It is therefore not correct on principle to promote the official in question to the II Division Clerk's post on Rs 30-3-60 at the next chance as indicated above.

(20) The ~~of~~ most that could be done in the case

× N. Srinivasagopal, a non-mysorean (being a local candidate of Thirupathi & son of N. Gandachar put in 30 yrs of service in the & retired without pension) He has passed SSLC examination of Madras.

(※) Para 7 of the notes in the file

× Page 219 of the budget

Manager	1	Rs.	125 - 5 - 150
Head clerk	1	"	100 - 4 - 120
Senior clerk	1	"	80 - 4 - 100
Junior clerks	3	"	30 - 3 - 60
Typist	1	"	30 - 3 - 45
Sub Overseer	1	"	40 - 4 - 100
Head Accountant	1	"	40 - 4 - 100
Head Munshi	1	"	40 - 4 - 100
Dy Accountants	4	"	30 - 3 - 60
Agamiks	2	"	30 - 3 - 60
Mutchi & Bhk Boida	1	"	15 - 1 - 20
Attender	1		15 - 1 - 18
Sri Chamarajendra Veda Maha Pata sala clerk	1	Rs	20 - 1 - 30,

N.B — Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

ROUTINE NOTES

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

in question is that exemption may be granted to him for his initial appointment on the establishment in the Mysore State chambers, & his appointment regularised so as to afford him facility to rise to higher appointment in promotional vacancies in that establishment which is a non pensionable one and also in similar other establishments if they are included in the limit of the Muzrai Commissioner Sanction may as a special case be communicated granting the exemption asked for but the other proposal to fix the official pay at Rs 33/- & thus transfer to the Muzrai commissioner's office on Rs 30-3-60 has to be turned down as the same is not admissible under the rules

(21) As an important issue has come out of the present proposal the general question re disposal of the vacancies arising in the non-pensionable establishment included in the limit of the Muzrai commissioner may be pursued further separately.

(22) GL Secy) Ack
Office memo

HMB
24/3/44

SECRETARY
2 MAR 1944
1914

N. B —should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied or slips pasted on this form.

WD 078—GPB—30,000—25-7-40

(23) He cannot be given a place in the M.C's office. He is borne on the establishment of the Courts, which is a non-permanent one. He is also in a graded post & may continue in that post, drawing increments as they accrue.

28/3

29/8/44

(24) Rule and Enquiry Branch

(25) Submitted with reference to Paras 13-15 Supra.



29/8/44

3.9.

(26) Is there any difference between this case and that of Yamunacher who was granted exemption? The point may be examined, and if need be, further remarks of the M.C. called for

29/3

(27) The two cases are almost similar

Yamunacharya (who, by the way, is stated to have completed the Intermediate course) started his service as II clerk at Thirupathi on 10.9.1932 on Rs. 20/-, promoted as I Clerk there on Rs. 25/-, on 20.8.37 vice Srinivasa Gopal who was transferred as Shivaganga Mutt Clerk in the M C's office, and, subsequently, taken to the latter office in place of Srinivasa Gopal reverted. The latter started his service two years earlier than the former. but both of them were first appointed without any reference to the rules of recruitment, on the plea that the posts were at Thirupathi with reference to para 26 supra. (29) The M C may."

further considered

2

6/4

W D Secn

6/

5/4

H.O.No. 145 Of 1943-44

Dated the 5th April 1944

31. I am directed to invite reference to the Madras Government letter [illegible] and to say that [illegible] and to state that [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

M3 7044/43 in 6/4

M3-2272/43-44 dt 1-5-44

A.O. file.

(32) Returned with compliments.

33) Sanction of Government for appointing only Brahmins, Kharthes in Tirupati had not been obtained in the first instance, a it was usual to appoint them only in view of the special nature of the work attached to the post and C.R.B.Rules had not been followed till 1934.

34) It is now being filled from the list of candidates ('A'List sent from Central Recruitment Board. Srinivasagopal and Yamunacharya are the two officials who were appointed in that manner, before 1934. They are identical cases. Exemption was sought for in the case of Yamunacharya, and sanction was accorded by Government. Similar sanction has now been sought for in respect of Srinivasa Gopal.

35) Though the establishment at Tirupati is non-pensionable, the appointments are being now made from the C.R.T. list candidates assigned to this unit. The qualifications prescribed

for assignment to this unit are the same as those prese for Government Pensionable Services. The candidates in II Class lists assigned to this unit will be recruited to Tirupati Charities, Chamarajendra Veda Maha Patasala, and Ayurvedic and Unani College, Mysore. The first is of non-pensionable service, and the latter two are of pensionable service. In the order of appointment, one gets into pensionable service or non-pensionable service, according to the serial order of the list and the vacancy against which he is appointed. Whenever a candidate enters into service, he is entitled to the prospects attached to it. It is, therfore, that the cases of persons appointed in Tirupati Charities are also considered and promotions given in the same class as vacancies occur in appointments of pensionable service. It would not be fair to deny such advantages of promotion, etc., to an official entering non-pensionable service. Besides, the official stagnates in the place, and there will be no scope for transferring him when necessity arises. Why the scale of pay of the two junior clerks of Tirupati Charities should not be made identical with the grade of Rs 25-1-30-40, so that the officials of the Taluq, Sub Division, and District Offices, could be interchanged and they treated as lent officials, is engaging attention.

36) As the present case is the only case of such appointmen made before 1934, outside the C.R.P. Rules, the recommendation already made may be sanctioned, in the circumstances stated, as a special case.

M Venkatarao

1st May 1944. Muzrai C sioner.

3684/3/5

37) Send for notes
Secret
Secy (Secret) 2/5/44

38) I think the proposal of the Iraq Commissioner may be considered as a special case in the circumstances now explained by him
Mr Eden
Mr Rose) Secy 3/5

4/5/44
7155

INCTER
5 MAY 1944
6988

39) I have no objection to his absorption in a permanent or future vacancy but he must take rank below all officers who are in the grade Rs 300-30-600, otherwise it will not be fair to officers who have been recruited to this grade according to rules.

4/5

40)

Secy 6/5

Submitted with a draft letter to Mr. as at para 39.

BRS 10/5 Thanks

R. 6550/ dated 2/5/44
To the Mission
States that there is no objection to the absorption

of Srinivasagopal in re promotion or general
vacancy provided the Officials takes rank below
all officials in the grade of Rs. 30-3-60 etc.
No R 6551/ dated 12/5/46
Copy to the Public Service Commissioner

20/10
Dec
1945

C.191/38-39 Office of the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,
Bangalore, 3rd September 1938.

→ copy of letter

From

The Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

To

The General Secretary to the Government
of Mysore, Bangalore.

Sir,

Exempting A. R. Yamunacharya, Sivaganga Mutt Clerk,
from the operation of C.R.B. Rules.

I have the honor to state that A. R. Yamunacharya, Sivaganga Mutt Clerk of this Office, on Rs 25 p.m., has given a representation stating that he is working in the Muzrai Department for the last 6 years commencing his service at the Mysore Government Charities at Tirupati, and that his name is not included in the C.R.B. list; and praying that his name may, kindly, be caused to be registered in the unit of this office.

From the previous references of this office, it is seen that this official was, first, appointed as II Clerk on Rs 20 p.m., in the establishment of the Tirupati Charities, as per this office memo No. D1 Dis.54/32-33 dated 27th August 1932, and that he reported himself for duty there, on 10th September 1932. Afterwards, he was promoted to Rs 25 p.m., as I Clerk of the same Charities, as per this office order No.C.100/37-38 dated 20th August 1937, vice. Srinivasa Gopal transferred to this office as Sivaganga Mutt Clerk. Later on, he was transferred to this office as Sivaganga Mutt Clerk, as per this office memo No. D2 Dis.1010/37-38 dated 22nd April 1938. He does not seem to have been appointed, in the first instance, under the C.R.B. Rules. Perhaps, the C.R.B. Rules were not being strictly observed then with regard to the appointments in Tirupati Charities. He has completed the Intermediate course, and knows typewriting also. As the official has already put in nearly six years of service and his work is satisfactory, I request

that sanction of Government may, kindly, be obtained to exempt from the operation of the C.R.B. Rules.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

- Sd.- K. V. Anantaraman,

Muzrai Commissioner.

Letter No.3074/C.R.B. dated 4th November 1938 from the General Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore.

With reference to your letter No.C.191/38-9 dated 2nd September 1938, recommending grant of exemption from the Central Recruitment Board Rules to one A. R. Yamunacharya for being continued in your Department, I am directed to state that Government are pleased to approve of your recommendation.

"COPIES"

M. N. Ramaiah

For Muzrai Commissioner.

Appendix F. G.—1]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Section Mug.

File No. 143-43

Serial No. 2

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

No. R 6550.

To

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *194*

The Mysore Commr

Sir, Subject Exempting V. Srinivasa Gopal, Clerk, Mysore State Charities, Tirupati, from the operation of the C.R.B Rules..

Date of issue.... 12.5-44

Signed by.. (Mr S.K.4)

With reference to your letter No M 3-2272/43-44 dated (recd on 18-11-43) requesting sanction to (viz), ~~on the above subject,~~ Exempt V. Srinivasa Gopal, Clerk, Mysore State Charities from the operation of the C.R.B rules and to give his pay at Rs 35/- in the grade Rs 30-3-60 on his Transfer to your office, I am directed to state that ~~Govt have~~ there is no objection to the absorption of the above special

P.T.O

List of enclosures.....

Copied by.....

Examined by.....

WD 04161—GPB—60,000—24-10-41

in a promotional or general vacancy provided the Special ~~Scale~~ Rates rank below all Officials who are in the grade of Rs. 30-3-60, as otherwise it will not be fair to Officials who have been recruited to that grade according to Rules.

I have etc.

for General Secretary to Govt

BKS
10/5 Copy of the above is forwarded for information to the [illegible]

[illegible]

R 65-5-1 12/5 Issuing as G.S. Govt

Page 1.17